Title of the manuscript

Author One[a,*] Author Two[b]

[a] *Affiliated Institution, City, Country*
[b] *Affiliated Institution, City, Country*
[*]Corresponding author.

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:
legal history
Roman law
codification
comparative legal history

ABSTRACT

This template is provided so that authors may prepare a manuscript in a clean, consistent format while the journal is in preparation. Replace this paragraph with your abstract. The abstract should state the question, the method, the principal argument, and the contribution of the article. It should not contain footnotes or citations.

1. Introduction

Begin with the argument. Explain why the question matters, what the existing literature has and has not established, and how the article intervenes. The introduction should be accessible to readers outside the author's immediate jurisdiction and subfield.

Footnotes may be used for citations and for substantive points that would interrupt the main argument.[1]

2. Doctrinal analysis

This section illustrates the structure of a doctrinal argument. State the legal rule or doctrinal position, identify the relevant materials, and explain how the argument bears on the question. The journal welcomes close doctrinal work, but it also expects authors to explain why the doctrinal point matters beyond the immediate case or statute.

2.1. A subsection

Use subsections where they help the reader follow the argument. Avoid levels of heading that are deeper than the argument requires.

Table 1: Placeholder table: comparing doctrinal positions

Position	Consequence
Position A	Placeholder consequence for the parties.
Position B	Placeholder consequence for third parties.

3. Scope and method

The journal's scope is deliberately broad. Depending on the article, the method may be doctrinal, historical, comparative, theoretical, or interdisciplinary. Explain the method explicitly where it is not obvious.

- State the materials and jurisdictions covered.
- Explain the selection of cases, statutes, or data.
- Acknowledge the limits of the argument.

4. Conclusion

Return to the question stated in the introduction. State what the article has established, what follows for law or policy, and what remains open for further research. Do not introduce new claims in the conclusion.

References

Author. 2024. *Title of the Work*. Place: Publisher.

Author. 2025. 'Title of the Article'. *Journal Title* 1: 1–20.

[1]This is a sample footnote. Replace it with a citation or a substantive note, for example Author (2024).